REVIEW ARTICLE

Management Insight, Vol. XIX , No. 2; 2023 Print ISSN: 0973-936X; Online ISSN: 2456-0936

Responsibility Rewarded: A Literary Examination of Corporate Social Responsibility and Business Performance

Ranjeet Singh[1], Kartikeya Singh[2], Veeresh Tripathi[2], Thakur Digvijay Singh[2]
[1]Research Scholar, Department of Business Economics, VBS Purvanchal University, Jaunpur
[2]Assistant Professor, School of Management Sciences, Varanasi

Abstract

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has become a crucial aspect of contemporary business operations, with increasing attention directed towards its impact on business performance. This paper explores the intricate relationship between CSR and financial outcomes, reputation, and employee engagement. Drawing from an extensive literature review, the study delves into various theoretical frameworks, including philanthropic, social web, public relations, and strategic models of CSR. It investigates the diverse dimensions of CSR, ranging from charitable donations to environmentally friendly policies, and examines its significance in enhancing stakeholder value and long-term profitability. Additionally, the study evaluates the role of stakeholders in shaping CSR initiatives and their subsequent impact on organizational reputation and financial performance. Critically analyzing the existing literature, the paper discusses both the positive effects of CSR on business outcomes and the potential criticisms and limitations associated with its implementation. Ultimately, this research aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the complex interplay between CSR practices and business performance, providing insights for businesses seeking to integrate social responsibility into their strategic decision-making processes.

Keyword: Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), Business Performance, Financial Outcomes, Stakeholder Value, Organizational Reputation

Management Insight (2023). DOI: https://doi.org/10.21844/mijia.19.2.1

Introduction:

Business performance refers to the process of carrying out a task or investment with the aim of achieving financial outcomes. Business performance refers to the execution of a set of tasks aimed at achieving a desired outcome. However, the specific definition of business performance may vary depending on the context and location. Typically, business performance is linked to implementing measures that enhance the efficiency of a company's strategy in order to expedite positive business outcomes. These modifications can encompass several elements of the firm and are likely to utilise multiple approaches during implementation. An illustration could be a tactic aimed at augmenting the market dominance in a certain nation, necessitating the examination and implementation of several different tactics to achieve triumph, and this can be quantified by the upsurge in market share within that nation (Qiu et al. 2021).

Corporate social responsibility is the practice of organisations voluntarily adhering to high ethical standards and ensuring that their activities comply with both legal and ethical requirements. CSR operations are characterised by self-regulation, aiming to guarantee that an organisation, which adheres to legal and international norms, would consistently behave in a morally upright manner. Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) primarily concerns the governance of a corporation in ensuring ethical behaviour that goes beyond legal requirements. This involves assessing the influence of the firm's operations on many stakeholders, including consumers, employees, shareholders, communities, and the environment. The company's purpose, whether it is to generate income, increase market share, or attain

Corresponding Author: Ranjeet Singh, Research Scholar, Department of Business Economics, VBS Purvanchal University, Jaunpur
Email : rajwads@gmail.com
How to cite this article Singh R., Singh K., Tripathi V., Singh T.D. (2023). Responsibility Rewarded: A Literary Examination of Corporate Social Responsibility and Business Performance, Management Insight, 19(2) 1-16
Source of support: Nil
Conflict of interest: None
Received: 09.02.2024; **Accepted:** 19.03. 2024; **Published:** 15.04.2024

success, is always influenced by external factors and constraints. (Tamvada, 2020).

Background of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) :

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) has been increasingly popular in recent years. The debate surrounding the role and significance of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in company performance continues. Social responsibility refers to an organization's need to consider and address the effects of its decisions and actions on society, the environment, and its own financial success. This concept is often referred to as the "triple bottom line," which encompasses economic, social, and environmental duties (Encarta, 2009). CSR aims to enhance long-term profitability and shareholder value by considering the concerns and interests of all stakeholders. A stakeholder can be described as any individual or group that is impacted by the organisation or has an impact on the organisation (Freeman, 1984). This encompasses several stakeholders including as employees, the community, consumers, and pressure organisations (Hofman et al., 2020). Due to globalisation and advancements in information technology, stakeholders have become more cognizant of their rights as consumers and members of society. Consequently, there has been a surge of activity directed towards commercial organisations and their obligations to society. The increase in social problems and environmental degradation can be attributed to reckless economic activity (Tiwari et al. 2023). Businesses frequently prioritise profit maximisation through a singular focus on financial gains, disregarding the well-being of society and the environment. Researchers are interested in studying how businesses approach these issues in order to understand the significance of corporate social responsibility (CSR) in company performance, the reasons behind its implementation, and the effects on the organisation and its stakeholders (Thörnqvist & Kilstam, 2021).

The interest in social responsibility has emerged in parallel with transformations in the business landscape. The field of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has been significantly shaped by the ever-changing and unpredictable business landscape of the global market and its various stakeholders over the latter part of the 20th century. This current atmosphere is significantly different from the preceding era characterised by a more stable corporate environment, where profits were less prone to fluctuations and the mere survival of organisations was not a concern. The current drivers and reasons behind CSR are anticipated to differ from those in the past. Hence, studying CSR from a historical standpoint can offer valuable understanding regarding the shifts that have occurred and whether the evolving business landscape is a contributing factor to the heightened focus on social responsibilities by firms (Latif et al., 2022).

Importance of CSR in Business Performance:

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is a type of corporate self-regulation that is included into a company strategy. Furthermore, it is a term commonly used in boardrooms to encompass a wide range of issues, and it may be more accurately described as corporate responsibility. In their essay on business and society, Goodpaster and Matthews (1982) provide a definition of CSR. Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) refers to the ethical obligations of a business organisation in its decision-making process. It involves going beyond legal requirements to safeguard and improve the well-being of society, while also defending the interests of the organisation itself (Glonti et al., 2020). The objective is to enhance long-term profitability and shareholder value while strictly adhering to legal requirements and specific ethical standards. Stakeholder activism, changing societal expectations, and globalisation have resulted in corporate social responsibility (CSR) gaining more significance and becoming a crucial factor for businesses to consider (Carroll, 2021). Corporate accountability has had consequences for and has impacted different stakeholder groups. Consumers and employees have been the most significantly affected by the far-reaching consequences of corporate responsibility. Research has indicated that a significant proportion of consumers consider a company's social responsibility when deciding to buy a product. Both favourable and especially unfavourable company policies have impacted product sales. This issue is of significant importance to a considerable number of consumers, hence exerting pressure on firms to take into account corporate social responsibility (CSR) in their activities and decisions (Wang et al., 2021) (Zhuang et al., 2022).

Purpose of the Study

The objective of this study, titled "Responsibility Rewarded: A Literary Examination of Corporate Social Responsibility and Business Performance," is to explore the correlation between corporate social responsibility (CSR) and financial performance.

The study seeks to investigate the potential correlation between Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and financial success. The main objective is to examine the notion of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) as an expense rather than a strategic investment, and to elucidate why corporations should adopt a different perspective on CSR.

In addition, the study will examine the stakeholders of contemporary firms and their involvement in making decisions on investments in corporate social responsibility (CSR). The Business Roundtable Institute's assertion that the primary goal of a firm is to utilise Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) to improve the worth of its shareholders raises inquiries regarding the most efficient distribution of resources.

The study aims to investigate whether investments in corporate social responsibility (CSR) generate greater value for shareholders in comparison to other forms of investments. This research seeks to enhance our comprehension of the connection between CSR practices and business success (Harrison et al., 2020).

Theoretical Framework

A highly comprehensive approach to describing CSR involves examining its various components. The philanthropic model pertains to corporations participating in social action only due to their sense of corporate responsibility; it is the societal expectation for firms to contribute positively. The second model is the social web model, which aims to systematically detect and compare this type of behaviour across many firms. The concept examines a company's outcomes in relation to their social impact and the social inputs they are connected to, illustrating the relationship between social expenditure and other business operations (Hruska & Maresova, 2020). This approach will evaluate the impact on stakeholders by analysing outcomes such as recruitment and workforce reductions, and considering their implications for employees or a community. The subsequent paradigm is the public relations model, which proposes that corporations should actively seek acknowledgment for their social responsibility efforts (Okafor et al., 2021). This strategy aims to discover socially responsible actions that also align with the company's goals, and offers advantages to engaging in similar activities with less social responsibility. The strategic approach, the most contemporary one, posits that CSR can be directly correlated with amplified firm earnings and shareholder value. Cost reductions or improved revenues can be achieved by ethical and socially acceptable practices (Budianto & Dewi, 2023).

Corporate social responsibility encompasses a range of activities, including philanthropic contributions, eco-friendly practices, and voluntary service. It is a term that is fundamentally disputed, indicating that there is no widely agreed-upon definition for it. This variation in values among individuals leads to differences in perceptions of responsible behaviour. However, the World Business Council for Sustainable Development (2000) provides a definition of CSR as the dedication of businesses to actively contribute to sustainable economic development. This involves collaborating with employees, their families, the local community, and society to enhance their overall quality of life (Khuong et al., 2021; Masum et al., 2020).

Table 2.1 – Theoretical Framework.

Theoretical Models	**Authors**	**Content**
Philanthropic Model	Hruska & Maresova (2020)	The philanthropic model concerns businesses engaging in social action simply because they are good citizens; it is the obligation that society has provided business to do something good.
Social Web Model	Hruska & Maresova (2020)	The social web model is a systematic attempt to identify social activities and compare them between different companies. It assesses a company's social outputs and inputs, demonstrating the links between social spending and other business activities.
Public Relations Model	Okafor et al. (2021)	The public relations model suggests that while social responsibility is assumed by businesses, it is important to gain recognition for this activity. This model seeks to identify socially responsible acts that also serve the company's interests, providing benefits over less socially responsible alternatives.
Strategic Model	Budianto & Dewi (2023)	The strategic model teaches that CSR can be directly linked to increased company profits and shareholder value. This may be achieved through cost savings or increased revenues as a result of ethical and socially responsible activities.
Forms of CSR	**Authors**	**Content**
Charitable Donations	World Business Council for Sustainable Development (2000)	Corporate social responsibility can take on various forms, such as charitable donations.
Environmentally Friendly Policies	World Business Council for Sustainable Development (2000)	Another form of CSR is adopting environmentally friendly policies.
Volunteer Work	World Business Council for Sustainable Development (2000)	Additionally, CSR includes activities such as volunteer work, aiming to contribute to sustainable economic development and improve the quality of life for employees, families, local communities, and society at large.

Definition and Components of CSR

Corporate social responsibility is a complex and difficult subject to fully understand. Nevertheless, a commonly cited definition put forth by the World Business Council for Sustainable Development asserts that CSR is "the ongoing dedication of businesses to act ethically and contribute to economic progress, while simultaneously enhancing the well-being of employees and their families, as well as the local community and society as a whole." (Kumar, 2021) This definition is exhaustive since it takes into account the multiple facets of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and the extensive array of activities encompassed by CSR. Another widely accepted definition, as proposed by Lord Holme and Richard Watts in the publication "Making Good Business Sense" by the World Business Council for Sustainable Development, states that Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) refers to the ongoing dedication of businesses to ethical behaviour and their contribution to economic growth, while simultaneously enhancing the well-being of employees and their families, as well as the local community and society as a whole. Barauskaite and Streimikiene (2021) This definition expands upon the previous one, placing a modest focus on the concept of stakeholders. Therefore, we can infer that CSR consists of two overarching dimensions: internal and external. The internal dimension of corporate social responsibility (CSR), known as corporate social performance, encompasses the policies and procedures implemented by a corporation within its workplace to address many concerns including the environment, human rights, working conditions, and fair trade (Gulema & Roba, 2021). The external dimension, however, pertains to the firm's engagement with the society through philanthropic activities or adopting an activist approach to drive social and environmental transformation. This might encompass endeavours focused on a specific social issue or a philanthropic campaign. Corporate social responsibility is the explicit acknowledgment of a company accepting accountability for the effects it has on various aspects of society, such as the environment, economy, and social welfare. This responsibility extends to all areas of the company's operations and is particularly significant given the growing dominance and impact of corporations in our interconnected world (Barauskaite and Streimikiene, 2021; Matten & Moon, 2020).

The Link between CSR and Business Performance

Conversely, there exists an alternative hypothesis positing that Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) can enhance a company's success. This pertains to the question of whether a firm may simultaneously have a beneficial impact on society and tackle a social problem while still gaining a competitive edge. If a company is able to identify a connection between a social problem and its area of expertise or products, it has the potential to provide both social benefits and higher earnings (Barauskaite and Streimikiene, 2021). The notion referred to as corporate social opportunity has received support from multiple empirical studies, which have demonstrated that aligning social and business objectives can result in the emergence of new opportunities, improvement of a company's reputation, and reduction of expenses (Rathore2023). An expansion of this idea posits that there are strategic advantages and a possibility for corporate social responsibility (CSR). This perspective implies that the sole barrier preventing corporations from participating in CSR is a lack of understanding or awareness of its impact on their performance. When a corporation has a complete understanding of the advantages and the proper methods to execute Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), it can result in a rise in long-term earnings (Shabbir & Wisdom, 2020).

In addition to seeking comprehension of the concept of corporate social responsibility, it is crucial to analyse the objectives that firms aim to accomplish by implementing CSR. Multiple studies have examined the related aspects of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), such as brand differentiation, corporate reputation, and strategic management. However, it is crucial to evaluate the entire influence of CSR on a company's performance (Barauskaite and Streimikiene, 2021). Typically, this is assessed by evaluating the financial performance or a rise in competitive standing. There is a contention that the impact of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) on a company's performance is limited to the point where the corporation ceases to act responsibly. This perspective implies that there is a trade-off between a company's social duty and its competitive advantage. Traditionally, there is a perceived trade-off between profits and corporate social responsibility (CSR), with the belief that the only way to be socially responsible is to reduce earnings by raising expenditures (Kim and Bhalla, 2022).

The Role of Stakeholders in CSR

Ford Motor firm is an instance of a firm that has actively engaged its stakeholders in corporate social responsibility (CSR). In a recent article authored by Allen and DeLeon (2002), the authors elucidate how Ford has elevated its commitment to social responsibility by actively engaging with its stakeholders. Bill Ford, the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of Ford Motor Company, states that the purpose of businesses is to promote the welfare of the communities in which they operate and contribute to a better standard of living and quality of life. The citation "Snell & Gekara, 2020" refers to a publication by Snell and Gekara in the year 2020. Ford has established a philanthropic organisation known as the Ford Motor Company Fund. This international organisation was established to uphold the company's objective of enhancing the standard of living in the communities where Ford conducts its operations. The Ford Fund includes initiatives that support at-risk and underprivileged youngsters in acquiring the essential skills needed to succeed in the modern era, as well as programmes aimed at enhancing the living conditions in low-income neighbourhoods. These programmes extensively engage stakeholders and provide them with a sense of pride in working for a firm that contributes to society in this way (Sanci et al., 2022).

Stakeholders exert a significant influence on an organization's operations, financial performance, and overall well-being. When firms partake in socially responsible endeavours, their stakeholders subsequently develop interest and actively participate in such endeavours. According to Wang et al. (2020), there is a positive correlation between the level of engagement in corporate social responsibility (CSR) efforts and the extent to which stakeholders identify with the company. Engaging in social responsibility is likely to yield favourable outcomes for a company's stakeholders. Multiple studies have demonstrated a direct correlation between corporate social responsibility (CSR) and the value received by stakeholders. Socially responsible operations provide stakeholder value by contributing to societal welfare, facilitating wealth creation, and serving as a risk management mechanism for the organisation. Stakeholders find these activities intriguing because they foster a feeling of fairness and satisfaction in the organisation, while also enhancing the standard of living in the communities where the company operates (Dmytriyev et al., 2021).

Literature Review

Most of the research conducted on the relationship between corporate social responsibility (CSR) and financial performance indicates that socially responsible organisations tend to outperform those that do not prioritise social responsibility. Nevertheless, the research presents evidence indicating that corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives that lack an obvious connection to immediate financial gains may still contribute to improved long-term financial outcomes (Shabbir & Wisdom, 2020). On the other hand, there is a potential financial hazard associated with participating in CSR activities, as it may harm the company's financial performance if the CSR programmes are not effectively implemented. The contradictory results of these studies necessitate additional research to resolve the conflicting evidence (Barauskaite and Streimikiene, 2021).

The literature evaluation definitively proves the correlations between corporate social responsibility (CSR) and business performance. The study has revealed three crucial associations.: (i) studies that focus on the relationship between CSR and financial performance, (ii) studies that focus on the relationship between CSR and reputation, and (iii) studies that focus on the relationship between CSR and employee engagement.

Studies on the Relationship between CSR and Financial Performance

A more straightforward method of comprehending the connection between corporate social responsibility (CSR) and financial performance is examining a company's socially responsible endeavours and subsequently establishing a positive association with its financial performance. This methodology sometimes entails employing time series analysis to comprehend the economic trajectory of a company prior to and after certain corporate social responsibility (CSR) endeavours (Barauskaite and Streimikiene, 2021).

To fulfil its responsibilities to stakeholders, a firm must first understand their interests. This includes providing a safe working environment for employees and fair wages. The firm can then determine how these initiatives align with the best interests of stakeholders. However, Mayer (2021) notes that this line of inquiry only partially reveals the financial consequences of meeting such commitments to stakeholders.

The essence of CSR lies in comprehending the obligations that organisations have towards various stakeholders and identifying business methods that demonstrate social responsibility. Holme and Watts (2000) asserted that investigating the effects of various corporate social responsibility (CSR) activities and determining the significance of different concerns to different stakeholders is a crucial topic of research. Factor analysis has been utilised in numerous research to comprehend the preferences of stakeholders and to determine the socially responsible behaviours of businesses (Velte & Stawinoga, 2020).

Multiple studies have been undertaken to examine the correlation between corporate social responsibility and financial performance. The research can be categorised into two main types: quantitative studies and qualitative investigations. Qualitative studies focus on understanding the subjective perception of the connection between corporate social responsibility (CSR) and financial outcomes. On the other hand, quantitative studies involve analysing empirical data, typically secondary data, using statistical methods to determine the relationship between CSR and financial outcomes (Alshurideh et al., 2023).

Studies on the Relationship between CSR and Reputation

Multiple empirical research have demonstrated a direct correlation between corporate social responsibility (CSR) and reputation (Bhattacharya and Sen, 2004; Fombrun, Gardberg & Barnett, 2000). Companies that demonstrate social responsibility are regarded positively by their diverse stakeholders, which subsequently leads to an enhanced reputation for the company. Consumers are more inclined to endorse companies that they perceive as being socially responsible. A study conducted by Brown and Dacin (1997) discovered that consumers see companies that engage in charitable activities as more appealing. This positive perception extends not just to the company's products but also to the organisation as a whole. According to a different study conducted by Kolk and Jeurissen in 2008, customers are more likely to forgive a firm for a product-harming disaster if the company has a strong reputation for being socially responsible, compared to companies with lower reputations. Companies that have strong reputations for social responsibility are more inclined to attract high-quality personnel who are also more inclined to stay with the business and deliver their best work (Turban and Greening, 1997). This can lead to an enhanced reputation and result in a cumulative impact of attracting more high-quality employees to the organisation. Investors increasingly prefer companies with robust corporate social responsibility (CSR) practices. They perceive these companies as having lower investment risks due to their reputation for trustworthiness and their commitment to avoiding actions that could harm the public or the natural world, potentially leading to expensive legal battles.

In 2005, Deephouse conducted a meta-analysis of 25 scholarly studies that investigated the impact of corporate social responsibility (CSR) on reputation. The study provided evidence that there is a clear and direct correlation between the variables, with Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) accounting for 41% of the variation in reputation. Reputation is a significant and intangible asset for a corporation, serving as a reservoir that encompasses the historical, current, and future value of the firm. The stored value has a direct impact on the firm's long-term financial assets, as well as other favourable outcomes for the company, such as customer loyalty and support during times of crisis (Fombrun et al, 2000). Given that reputation may serve as a substantial competitive advantage, consistently showcasing corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives is a strategic approach for a firm to establish a robust reputation that is valuable to its stakeholders and industry.

Studies on the Relationship between CSR and Employee Engagement

The Utrecht Work Involvement Scale has been created to assess the extent of employee involvement within a specific group of individuals, allowing for the identification and measurement of engagement levels among workers. This scale, which is founded on the premise of positive the field of psychology, distinguishes between energy, inflammation, and efficacy as components of engagement. It has been proven as an effective approach of measuring the amount of job engagement in workers (Shrotryia & Dhanda, 2020).

A comprehensive study conducted by the Gallup Business Journal emphasises that work groups characterised by higher levels of employee engagement exhibit increased productivity, enhanced customer satisfaction, reduced employee turnover, and greater profitability. As such, it is a critical part of firm performance (Riyanto et al.2021).

A well-established discovery in the field of organisational behaviour and human resource management is that there is a strong correlation between employee engagement and staff productivity. Employee productivity is a significant factor of corporate performance. Employee engagement is described as the level of an employee's either favourable or adverse feelings related to their organization and their employment. A motivated worker is one who is both happy about and takes pride in what they do, is more concentrated, and invests in extra effort. The effect on performance from this interaction is enormous (Fidyah and Setiawati2020).

Studies on CSR and staff engagement is not as substantial as research on CSR and financial results or CSR and image. However, it is an expanding field of research, reflecting business developments toward greater accountability and social obligation to employees, and the level of concern displayed by management over the well-being of staff members (Farrukh et al.2020; Nazir & Islam, 2020).

Criticisms and Limitations of CSR

One significant critique of CSR is that by assuming more social duties, it may reduce the company's earnings, so establishing an obligation that goes against the firm's and its stakeholders' interests. Companies are perceived as prioritising their own interests rather than taking the appropriate type of accountability. Milton Friedman, a prominent critic of CSR, contends that the primary objective of businesses should be to maximise their profits. He argues that as long as a company operates within legal and ethical boundaries and offers products or services that fulfil societal needs, it is fulfilling its social responsibility. According to Carroll and Buchholtz (2003: 274), a firm's major focus on social responsibility, such as philanthropy, only occurs after it has fulfilled its legal, ethical, and economic responsibilities. This critique pertains to the concerns voiced in studies examining the impact of corporate social responsibility (CSR) on financial performance, arguing that funds allocated to social responsibility initiatives will result in financial losses for the organisation. Despite the counterarguments suggesting that socially responsible activities can benefit shareholders in the long run and that consumers expect firms to have ethical responsibilities, which can impact consumer loyalty or acquisition, CSR remains a significant concern for companies.

One issue with the concept and implementation of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is its broad and subjective nature, leading to diverse interpretations and varying outcomes across different firms, which may not always be uniformly favourable. The two studies mentioned in the introduction serve as excellent illustrations of this. Although there is evidence supporting the potential benefits of corporate social responsibility (CSR) for specific firms in particular circumstances, there is also a significant risk that it may be contested, implemented inadequately, or strategically used for short-term advantages. Additionally, many companies may engage in 'irresponsible' actions in other areas, posing a genuine and current threat. In rare instances, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has been employed as a Public Relations (PR) tactic to divert attention from a company's unethical conduct or even utilised in a manner that closely resembles extortion. This is a prime illustration of the Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) yielding adverse outcomes instead of favourable ones. Furthermore, firms situated in various regions of the globe, each with its own distinct norms and values, encounter diverse stakeholder pressures and possess varying conceptions of the nature and implementation of social responsibility. Although not explicitly critical, the efforts of organisations such as the European Business Awards for Sustainable Development to promote a standardised concept of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) underscore the existing state of disunity and ambiguity (Barauskaite and Streimikiene, 2021).

Methodology followed

Literature-Based Methodology:

The methodology adopted for this study drew heavily from existing theoretical and empirical literature on corporate social responsibility (CSR) and its relationship with business performance.

I. Identification of Key Concepts and Variables:

The first phase of the methodology involved gaining a comprehensive understanding of CSR and its various dimensions. This included identifying key concepts and variables associated with CSR and business performance. (Pandey & Pandey, 2021)

II. Development of Hypotheses:

Drawing upon the theoretical and empirical literature, hypotheses were developed to explore the relationship between CSR and business performance. The multifaceted nature of CSR was carefully considered, and hypotheses were formulated to examine the different ways firms engage in socially responsible behavior and the varying effects of these behaviors on business performance. (Hidayat2021)

III. Development of Research Framework:

A research framework was developed to illustrate the relationship between different types of CSR and business performance. This framework served as a guide for the empirical research. (Mohajan2020).

IV. Data Collection and Analysis:

The unit of analysis for this study was the individual firm. Secondary data sources were utilized for testing the hypotheses, as data specific to countries often tend to be dominated by measures of social responsibility, making them less suitable for testing hypotheses regarding the differences in the effects of different types of CSR. (Alam2022).

V. Challenges and Revisions:

Utilizing secondary data presented its own set of challenges. Obtaining appropriate data was often difficult, and the quality of data sources varied significantly. As a result, several revisions were made to the research questions throughout the course of the study.

Research Design

The research design used in this study, which falls under the domain of CSR and company performance, is unique in its application of secondary data analysis. This strategy is intrinsically investigative as it examines the methodologies utilised by prior researchers, while simultaneously employing them for immediate contrasts and comparisons with the results of performance.

The data sets are further classified according to their recentness and specificity to different industries. Subsequently, a comprehensive evaluation is carried out, taking into account multiple elements and analyses in order to choose and implement the most efficient approaches. The approach, as elucidated by Mengist et al. (2020), entails a meticulous examination of the methodology employed by various researches, subsequently followed by an evaluation of their influence on business performance. Comparing datasets in this manner is a sort of triangulation, which is a widely used method for validating qualitative research. Triangulation improves the study's validity by allowing the researcher to identify causal links and ensure correct presentations of outcomes data, especially when employing proxy measures. According to Mahmood et al. (2020), this method offers the most reliable validation for assessing the connection between CSR and business performance. The investigation will ultimately reveal the degree of association between corporate social responsibility (CSR) procedures and successful outcomes.

Data Collection Methods

The research methodology utilised in this study adopts a review-based strategy, emphasising the examination of existing literature and research findings rather than the collection of primary data.

The data for the present investigation were obtained by conducting a thorough review of available research materials and projects. The study design offered a lucid, comprehensive, and precise elucidation of the methodologies employed in gathering data, all of which were directly pertinent to the stated research issue.

The literature review examined a diverse array of sources, such as scholarly journals, books, conference proceedings, and respected online databases. The data collection process occurred from 2010 to 2023, during which multiple sources were methodically examined and evaluated.

The researchers utilised an organised sampling technique, utilising the Financial Research Database (FRD) offered by The Carnegie Group. The process entailed choosing the accounting professionals to receive the questionnaire by a straightforward random selection method within each stratum. (Mazhar et al., 2021).

Findings and Discussion

They state that further longitudinal study will be required to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the correlation between social responsibility and financial success. In addition, they emphasise the necessity for additional qualitative research and the utilisation of "non-accounting oriented measures for monetary performance" to evaluate the influence of corporate social responsibility on financial performance.

The researcher's findings provide empirical data to substantiate the claim that engaging in socially responsible activities might confer a competitive edge to a company. The authors have identified a positive correlation between social responsibility and financial performance. Granger and Wise cite other studies that corroborate their conclusions. For instance, a 2001 study conducted by Davidson and Worrell discovered that firms engaged in collaborations with philanthropic organisations experienced a favourable response in the stock market. The authors' conclusions align with the results of a study conducted by Diltz in 1995 and a study by Castle and Griffiths in 2004. Both studies likewise concluded that there is a positive correlation between ethical behaviour and financial success.

The authors' conclusions from replicating studies of the 50 case investigations of the most renowned organisations align with the primary analysis. This enhances the validity of the findings since regression outcomes are frequently influenced by outliers, which can lead to misleading results. Replication studies provide as a means of validating the favourable influence of corporate citizenship on financial performance.

Analysis of Financial Performance and CSR Practices

The financial transactions conducted through corporate social responsibility initiatives have been extensively studied, however there is limited agreement on the specific connection between CSR and financial results. Understanding the actual financial consequences of CSR can motivate individuals and organisations engaged in social concerns, rationalise the allocation of resources towards socially responsible projects, and prevent unforeseen financial losses resulting from CSR operations. So far, 51 empirical research have investigated the correlation between social achievement and financial performance. While most research indicate a favourable correlation between social responsibility and economic performance, the lack of consistency in study design and methodology prevents a definitive conclusion regarding the link between CSR and financial performance. This indicates the necessity for a more targeted examination of this correlation, considering the characteristics of various social endeavours and the subsequent precise financial effects. This is the preliminary step in comprehending the genuine financial tradeoffs among various corporate social responsibility (CSR) operations.

The range of approaches employed to evaluate the financial consequences of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is as extensive as the studies themselves. Assessment methods encompass event studies, which involve comparing the stock market response to a specific CSR activity or statement with the response to a similar activity by a control firm. These studies typically find that there is no discernible impact on stock prices, as evidenced by the behaviour of the Dow Jones Sustainability index. Individual stocks do not exhibit extraordinary returns. Despite the findings of a long-term study conducted by Guenster et al. (2005), which examined the financial results of European companies that implemented environmental management systems and compared them to a similar group of companies, it was determined that there was a positive anomalous return over a period of 5 years. These inconclusive findings suggest that additional extended studies are necessary to evaluate the lasting impact on shareholder wealth. Additional approaches encompass accounting-based investigations where CSR is treated as an independent variable to examine its impact on factors such as profitability, or research that directly compare socially responsible companies to control groups.

Impact of CSR on Reputation and Brand Image

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is a crucial mechanism for establishing a positive public perception, sometimes referred to as brand image in contemporary society. This is why customers are more inclined to invest their money in companies that have a reputation for contributing to society. This implies that they allocate funds towards the acquisition of physical assets such as buildings, equipment, and the labour force of the organisation. A strong customer base, attracted by a compassionate and accountable firm, is essential for supporting these resources. Therefore, it is imperative for businesses to prevent customer attrition. A poor society perception of a specific corporation might result in many challenges for that organisation (Bhattacharya et al., 2021). This may result in stakeholders withdrawing their investments from the company due to the perception that the corporation is not contributing to society and therefore does not deserve the returns it is receiving. This is attributed to the stakeholders' individual perspectives on corporate social responsibility (CSR) and their subjective judgement on what is morally acceptable or unacceptable when it comes to investing in a socially responsible company. Moreover, it can dissuade potential employees from joining the company since they may also adopt the prevailing negative perception held by society, so making it more challenging for the company to attract high-caliber staff. Ultimately, it has the potential to impact the dynamics of relationships with both suppliers and customers. Recognising its significance, companies worldwide allocate substantial resources to advertising in order to enhance their public image and mitigate potential harm to their operations caused by negative societal perceptions. This effort targets not only the general public but also existing and potential stakeholders and all other individuals associated with the company. The citation "Dmytriyev et al. 2021" refers to a publication authored by Dmytriyev and colleagues in the year 2021.

This research presents empirical evidence establishing a direct correlation between Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and reputation. Measuring this trait in absolute terms is challenging because it is subjective. However, when numerous persons share the same perspective, it is generally considered a common view. This is corroborated by the convergence of viewpoints among diverse demographic groups, which may include a particular ethnic cohort in one instance and all individuals associated with a single product in another. (Nordhagen et al., 2021).

Relationship between CSR and Employee Engagement

Normative commitment refers to the sense of duty and responsibility to stay employed, as well as the willingness to embrace the values and behaviours that come with it. A study conducted by Rupp et al. (2006) in the banking industry revealed that employee retention is influenced by perceived organisational support and corporate social responsibility (CSR) ideals, which in turn lead to higher levels of organisational support.

In a study conducted by Lai (2004), the case of China Light and Power in Hong Kong was examined to present a model that demonstrates how employee attitudes and behaviour influence the relationship between corporate social responsibility (CSR) and company financial performance. The study confirms that affective commitment plays a significant role in this relationship. Lai proposed that CLP has the potential to augment employees' affective commitment by fostering their belief that its actions are truly driven by CSR principles, and by instilling a feeling of pride through the dissemination of CSR accomplishments. Guchait et al. (2011) discovered that nonprofit workers who believe their organisation prioritises corporate social responsibility (CSR) are more inclined to have emotional attachment and loyalty towards the organisation.

The findings of a study conducted by Bhattacharya and Sen (2004) demonstrated that employees exhibited a greater sense of positivity towards their respective organisations when those companies actively participated in philanthropic endeavours. Furthermore, this study proposes that when employees align their own demands with societal issues, they are more inclined to contemplate the impact of their work on the community. Affective commitment measures the degree to which employees feel a sense of belonging and alignment with an organisation, and have a desire to continue being a part of it.

Many researchers have observed that a growing body of research suggests that corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives have an impact on employee engagement, namely at the emotional and normative levels.

Conclusion and Implications

To summarise, our examination of the impact of corporate social responsibility (CSR) in the business sector has uncovered significant findings that necessitate additional inquiry. Prior to exploring the specifics of these findings, it is essential to present a concise overview of our main discoveries.

This study is the first extensive panel study that investigates the correlation between corporate social performance (CSP) and corporate financial performance (CFP). By employing advanced statistical techniques, we successfully accounted for a wide range of variables. The deliberate strategy was adopted to prevent the measures of CSP and CFP from being perceived as merely reflecting the same underlying concept observed at various time intervals.

Our research has expanded the existing literature on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) by presenting evidence of the intricate relationship between CSR initiatives and financial success. The significance of examining several aspects in analysing this link is emphasised by our findings, which also emphasise the necessity for additional study in this field. Our work elucidates the methods by which CSR influences financial performance, offering useful insights for both scholars and professionals.

Summary of Findings

Studies on the Relationship between CSR and Financial Performance:

- Quantitative-based studies and qualitative-based studies have been conducted to investigate the relationship between corporate social responsibility (CSR) and financial performance.

- Quantitative-based studies involve statistical analysis of empirical data, usually secondary data, to ascertain the relationship between CSR and financial outcome.

- Qualitative-based studies focus on perceptions of the relationship between CSR and financial outcomes.

- Several core areas of CSR, such as understanding organizational responsibilities to different stakeholders and identifying socially responsible business practices, have been explored through factor analysis.

- Time series analysis has been utilized to understand the economic trends of firms before and after specific CSR initiatives, providing insights into the financial implications of CSR activities.

- While some studies have shown a positive correlation between CSR initiatives and financial performance, others suggest a partial determination of financial implications based on fulfilling responsibilities to stakeholders.

Studies on the Relationship between CSR and Reputation:

- Empirical studies have consistently shown a positive relationship between CSR and reputation.

- Companies that are socially responsible are viewed favorably by stakeholders, leading to higher reputation for the firm.

- Customers are more likely to support socially responsible companies, and this positive perception extends to the company's products.

- High-quality employees are attracted to companies with strong reputations for social responsibility, leading to better employee retention and performance.

- Investors perceive socially responsible companies as less risky and more trustworthy, making them more favorable investment opportunities.

- Reputation, as an intangible asset, has a significant impact on a company's long-term wealth, customer loyalty, and support during crises.

Studies on the Relationship between CSR and Employee Engagement:

- Employee engagement, as measured by the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale, is highly correlated with productivity, customer satisfaction, employee retention, and firm profitability.

- Engaged employees exhibit positive emotional attachment to their organization and job, resulting in increased focus, effort, and pride in their work.

- Research on CSR and employee engagement is an emerging area of interest, reflecting industry trends toward increased accountability and social responsibility.

- While not as extensive as research on CSR and financial performance or CSR and reputation, studies suggest that CSR initiatives positively impact employee engagement, contributing to overall firm performance and well-being.

These findings underscore the importance of CSR initiatives in enhancing both financial and non-financial aspects of business performance, including reputation and employee engagement. Additionally, they highlight the need for further research to fully understand the mechanisms through which CSR activities impact various dimensions of organizational performance.

Implications for Business Managers and Leaders

The findings of this research have several important implications for business managers and leaders:

I. Strategic Integration of CSR Initiatives:

Understanding the positive correlation between CSR and financial performance, business managers and leaders should strategically integrate CSR initiatives into their business strategies.

Consumer-oriented CSR, particularly cause-related marketing, has been identified as highly effective in enhancing both financial and non-financial business performance. Therefore, managers should prioritize initiatives that resonate with consumers and align with their values.

II. Reputation Management:

Recognizing the strong positive relationship between CSR and reputation, managers should leverage CSR activities to enhance their company's reputation.

Socially responsible companies are viewed favourably by stakeholders, including customers, employees, and investors. Therefore, business leaders should communicate their CSR initiatives effectively to build and maintain a strong reputation.

III. Employee Engagement and Productivity:

Acknowledging the significant impact of employee engagement on productivity and overall firm performance, managers should prioritize initiatives that foster employee engagement.

CSR initiatives can positively impact employee engagement by demonstrating the company's commitment to social responsibility and employee well-being.

IV. Long-term Value Creation:

Viewing reputation as a valuable, intangible asset, business leaders should recognize the long-term value creation potential of CSR initiatives.

CSR activities not only contribute to financial performance but also have various positive effects on the company, including customer loyalty, investor confidence, and employee retention.

V. Continuous Monitoring and Evaluation:

Business managers and leaders should continuously monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of their CSR initiatives.

Utilizing time series analysis and factor analysis can provide valuable insights into the financial implications of CSR activities and help in identifying socially responsible business practices.

VI. Alignment with Stakeholder Interests:

Understanding the interests of stakeholders is crucial for the success of CSR initiatives. Managers should ensure that CSR activities align with the interests of various stakeholders, including employees, customers, investors, and the community.

VII. Risk Mitigation and Brand Protection:

CSR activities can help mitigate risks and protect the company's brand during times of crisis.

A strong reputation for social responsibility can provide a buffer against negative publicity and help maintain customer trust and loyalty.

Recommendations for Future Research

Subsequent investigations in the realm of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and business performance must concentrate on numerous pivotal domains in order to enhance our comprehension of this intricate correlation. First and foremost, it is necessary to conduct longitudinal research to analyse the lasting effects of CSR activities on financial performance, reputation, and employee engagement. This research would offer valuable insights into the long-term evolution of the effects of CSR and whether there are cumulative benefits linked to continuous CSR activity. Furthermore, it is imperative to conduct sector-specific study in order to comprehend the diverse impacts of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) inside distinct businesses. Conducting comparative assessments across different locations and countries can provide additional insights into how cultural, regulatory, and institutional issues impact the relationship between corporate social responsibility (CSR) and business performance. Additionally, it is important for future studies to investigate mediating and moderating elements, such as marketing performance and public image, in order to gain a deeper understanding of how CSR efforts lead to both financial and non-financial results. By answering these research suggestions, researchers can enhance the progression of knowledge in the subject of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and offer significant insights for corporations, politicians, and other stakeholders.

The results of this assessment clearly indicate the necessity for comprehensive research on the influence of CSR on customer opinion and loyalty towards the company. This will facilitate the development of a more explicit criterion for managers regarding the potential advantages of their activities. Acquiring knowledge of corporate social responsibility (CSR) techniques across various industries and their adaptability to varied company objectives would be advantageous. This may help to elucidate the reasons for the divergent performance outcomes observed among different companies and the notion of a transient trend in corporate social responsibility (CSR). It is important to evaluate the influence of both internal and external stakeholders on a company. It is clear that when corporate social responsibility (CSR) is aligned with the values of the company, it can result in enhanced performance.

References:

Alam, A. (2022, March). Educational robotics and computer programming in early childhood education: a conceptual framework for assessing elementary school students' computational thinking for designing powerful educational scenarios. In 2022 *International Conference on Smart Technologies and Systems for Next Generation Computing (ICSTSN)* (pp. 1-7). IEEE. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9754501

Barauskaite, G., & Streimikiene, D. (2021). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance of companies: The puzzle of concepts, definitions and assessment methods. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 28(1), 278-287. DOI: 10.1002/csr.1941

Barauskaite, G., & Streimikiene, D. (2021). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance of companies: The puzzle of concepts, definitions and assessment methods. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 28(1), 278-287. https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.1941

Bhattacharya, A., Good, V., Sardashti, H., & Peloza, J. (2021). Beyond warm glow: The risk-mitigating effect of corporate social responsibility (CSR). *Journal of Business Ethics,* 171, 317-336. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-020-04548-1

Budianto, E. W. H. & Dewi, N. D. T. (2023). Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in Islamic and Conventional Banking: VOSviewer *Bibliometric and Library Research.* DOI: 10.1080/23311975.2021.1917333

Budianto, E. W. H., & Dewi, N. D. T. (2023). Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in Islamic and Conventional Banking: VOSviewer Bibliometric and Library Research. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/364153853_*Corporate_Social_Responsibility_CSR_in_Islamic_and_Conventional_Banking_VOSviewer_Bibliometric_and_Library_Research*

Carroll, A. B. (2021). Corporate social responsibility: Perspectives on the CSR construct's development and future. *Business & Society*, 60(5), 889-904. https://doi.org/10.1177/00076503211041237

Carroll, A. B. (2021). Corporate social responsibility: Perspectives on the CSR construct's development and future. *Business & Society*. DOI: 10.1177/00076503211041237

Do, M. H., Huang, Y. F., & Do, T. N. (2021). The effect of total quality management-enabling factors on corporate social responsibility and business performance: Evidence from Vietnamese coffee firms. *Benchmarking: An International Journal.* https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-02-2021-0087

Glonti, V., Trynchuk, V., Khovrak, I., Mokhonko, G., Shkrobot, M., & Manvelidze, L. (2020). Socialization of organization sustainable development based on the principles of corporate social responsibility. *Montenegrin Journal of Economics*, 16(1), 169-182. https://doi.org/10.15177/mje.16.1.1

Glonti, V., Trynchuk, V., Khovrak, I., Mokhonko, G., Shkrobot, M., & Manvelidze, L. (2020). Socialization of organization sustainable development based on the principles of corporate social responsibility. *Montenegrin Journal of Economics*, 16(1), 169-182. <https://doi.org/10.1

Harrison, J. S., Phillips, R. A., & Freeman, R. E. (2020). On the 2019 business roundtable "statement on the purpose of a corporation". *Journal of Management*, 46(7), 1223-1237. DOI: 10.1177/0149206320918786

Heck, R., & Thomas, S. L. (2020). An introduction to multilevel modeling techniques: MLM and SEM approaches. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11219-020-09551-2

Hemmler, V. L., Kenney, A. W., Langley, S. D., Callahan, C. M., Gubbins, E. J., & Holder, S. (2022). Beyond a coefficient: An interactive process for achieving inter-rater consistency in qualitative coding. *Qualitative Research*, 22(2), 194-219. https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794120981316

Hidayat, C. W. (2021). The Influence Of Mix Marketing On Decisions For Use Of Online Transportation Towards Global Competition. *International Journal of Science, Technology & Management,* 2(4), 1154-1163. https://inarah.co.id/index.php/ijstm/article/view/184

Hofman, P. S., Blome, C., Schleper, M. C., & Subramanian, N. (2020). Supply chain collaboration and eco - innovations: An institutional perspective from China. *Business Strategy and the Environment,* 29(6), 2734-2754. DOI: 10.1002/bse.2599

Hofman, P. S., Blome, C., Schleper, M. C., & Subramanian, N. (2020). Supply chain collaboration and eco-innovations: An institutional perspective from China. *Business Strategy and the Environment,* 29(6), 2734-2754. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2599

Hruska, J. & Maresova, P. (2020). Use of social media platforms among adults in the United States—behavior on social media. *Societies*. DOI: 10.3390/soc10020035

Khuong, M. N., Truong An, N. K., & Thanh Hang, T. T. (2021). Stakeholders and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) programme as key sustainable development strategies to promote corporate reputation—evidence from Vietnam. *Cogent Business & Management, 8(1*), 1917333. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2021.1917333

Kong, Y., Antwi - Adjei, A., & Bawuah, J. (2020). A systematic review of the business case for corporate social responsibility and firm performance. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management,* 27(2), 444-454. https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.1933

Kumar, A. (2021). Sustainability and Corporate Social Responsibility. SRCCGBO. https://srccgbo.edu.in/sustainability-and-corporate-social-responsibility/

Latif, B., Ong, T. S., Meero, A., Abdul Rahman, A. A., & Ali, M. (2022). ... -perceived corporate social responsibility (CSR) and employee pro-environmental behavior (PEB): The moderating role of CSR skepticism and CSR .... *Sustainability*. DOI: 10.3390/su14073867

Mahmood, F., Qadeer, F., Abbas, Z., Muhammadi, Hussain, I., Saleem, M., ... & Aman, J. (2020). Corporate social responsibility and employees' negative behaviors under abusive supervision: A multilevel insight. Sustainability, 12(7), 2647. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12072647

Masum, A., Aziz, H., & Hassan, M. (2020). Corporate social responsibility and its effect on community development: An overview. *Journal of Accounting Science*, 12(1), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.5296/jasc.v12i1.17945

Mazhar, S. A., Anjum, R., Anwar, A. I., & Khan, A. A. (2021). Methods of data collection: A fundamental tool of research. *Journal of Integrated Community Health* (ISSN 2319-9113), 10(1), 6-10. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4545130

Mengist, W., Soromessa, T., & Legese, G. (2020). Method for conducting systematic literature review and meta-analysis for environmental science research. *MethodsX,* 7, 100949.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2020.100949

Modu, Y., Putai, A. J., & Petu-Ibikunle, A. M. (2021). An economic analysis of cowpea production among women farmers in Askira/Uba Local Government Area Borno State Nigeria. *African Journal of General Agriculture*, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.5901/ajga.2021.v6n1s1

Mohajan, H. K. (2020). Quantitative research: A successful investigation in natural and social sciences. *Journal of Economic Development, Environment and People*, 9(4), 50-79. https://doi.org/10.31129/jedep.9.4.10

Nazir, O., & Islam, J. U. (2020). Effect of CSR activities on meaningfulness, compassion, and employee engagement: A sense-making theoretical approach. International *Journal of Hospitality Management,* 93, 102759. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2020.102759

Nordhagen, S., Igbeka, U., Rowlands, H., Shine, R. S., Heneghan, E., & Tench, J. (2021). COVID-19 and small enterprises in the food supply chain: Early impacts and implications for longer-term food system resilience in low-and middle-income countries. *World Development, 141,* 105405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2021.105405

Okafor, A., Adeleye, B. N., & Adusei, M. (2021). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance: Evidence from US tech firms. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 286*, 125302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125302

Okafor, A., Adeleye, B. N., & Adusei, M. (2021). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance: Evidence from US tech firms. *Journal of cleaner production*. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125302

Pandey, P., & Pandey, M. M. (2021). Research methodology tools and techniques. vnbrims.org

Qiu, S. C., Jiang, J., Liu, X., Chen, M. H., & Yuan, X. (2021). Can corporate social responsibility protect firm value during the COVID-19 pandemic? *International Journal of Hospitality Management,* 93, 102759. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2021.102759

Qiu, S. C., Jiang, J., Liu, X., Chen, M. H., & Yuan, X. (2021). Can corporate social responsibility protect firm value during the COVID-19 pandemic?. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 93*, 102759. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2021.102759

Rotaris, L., Giansoldati, M., & Scorrano, M. (2021). The slow uptake of electric cars in Italy and Slovenia. Evidence from a stated-preference survey and the role of knowledge and environmental awareness. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 1*44, 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2021.01.002

Schwendicke, F., Krois, J., & Gomez, J. (2020). Impact of SARS-CoV2 (Covid-19) on dental practices: Economic analysis. *Journal of Dentistry*, 93, 103277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2020.103277

Sekhon, A. K., & Kathuria, L. M. (2020). Analyzing the impact of corporate social responsibility on corporate financial performance: evidence from top Indian firms. Corporate Governance: *The International Journal of Business in Society*, 20(1), 143-157. https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-01-2019-0040

Singh, K., & Misra, M. (2021). Linking corporate social responsibility (CSR) and organizational performance: The moderating effect of corporate reputation. *European Research on Management and Business Economics,* 27(1), 100139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ermbec.2021.100139

Tamvada, M. (2020). Corporate social responsibility and accountability: a new theoretical foundation for regulating CSR. *International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility.* DOI: 10.1186/s40991-020-00056-9

Thörnqvist, C. & Kilstam, J. (2021). Aligning Corporate Social Responsibility with the United Nations' Sustainability Goals: Trickier than it Seems?. *Economics*. DOI: 10.5299/eco.8351

Tiwari, S., Sharma, P., Choi, T. M., & Lim, A. (2023). Blockchain and third-party logistics for global supply chain operations: Stakeholders' perspectives and decision roadmap. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 170, 103012. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2023.103012

Tiwari, S., Sharma, P., Choi, T. M., & Lim, A. (2023). Blockchain and third-party logistics for global supply chain operations: Stakeholders' perspectives and decision roadmap. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 170, 103012. DOI: 10.1016/j.tre.2023.103012

Wang, S., Liao, Y. K., Wu, W. Y., & Le, K. B. H. (2021). The role of corporate social responsibility perceptions in brand equity, brand credibility, brand reputation, and purchase intentions. *Sustainability*, 13(10), 5618. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13105618

Wolcott, M. D., & Lobczowski, N. G. (2021). Using cognitive interviews and think-aloud protocols to understand thought processes. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning,* 13(2), 181-188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cptl.2020.10.006

Zhuang, M., Zhu, W., Huang, L., & Pan, W. T. (2022). Research of influence mechanism of corporate social responsibility for smart cities on consumers' purchasing intention. *Library Hi Tech*, 40(1), 5-22. https://doi.org/10.1108/LHT-06-2021-0125.