

Employee Engagement: Roadmap Towards Sustainable Future

Shivani Pandey¹, Sarika Sushil²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Commerce CMP Degree College, University of Allahabad.

²Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce CMP Degree College, University of Allahabad.

Abstract

The study intends to investigate how important employee involvement is in promoting sustainability, taking into account how businesses might strategically align engagement initiatives with long-term sustainability goals. The study uses a thorough review of existing literature and case studies to evaluate the effects of engagement practices on organizational sustainability. Employee engagement has emerged as an important factor in achieving sustainable organizational outcomes, particularly in today's rapidly changing business environment. It specifically focuses on the integration of employee voice, well-being, and leadership styles as core components of sustainable engagement.

The findings reveal that engaged employees contribute positively to organisational sustainability through enhanced productivity, innovation, and a greater sense of ownership over environmental and social initiatives. Furthermore, fostering open communication, well-being, and inclusive leadership was found to be essential for maintaining sustained interest. This document provides a road map for organizations seeking to integrate employee engagement into their sustainability strategies, ensuring both employee fulfilment and organizational resilience.

Keywords: Sustainable employee engagement, employee well-being, leadership, employee voice

Corresponding Author: Shivani Pandey, Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, CMP Degree College, University of Allahabad, Allahabad, India. Email: shivanipandey986@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Pandey S., Sushil S., (2024). Employee Engagement: Roadmap Towards Sustainable Future, Commerce Research Review 2(1) 57-67.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21844/crr.v2i01.1122>

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of Interest: None

Received: 29-09-2024; **Accepted:** 9-12-2024; **Published:** 20-12-2024

Introduction

With businesses all over the world embracing AI technologies, it has emerged as a key component in transforming conventional methods, promoting automation, improving decision-making, and influencing business trends (Ramrakhiani & Shrivastava, 2024). Employees in this stage of industrialization are using AI and cutting-edge technology to improve working procedures. Compared to the last revolution, this one is more focused on people. Here, the industry's sustainability is the primary concern. (Singh & Verma, 2024) In today's dynamic business landscape shaped by globalization and technological advancements, organizations are recognizing the pivotal role of sustainability in driving superior performance (Mishra, 2014). As they navigate through evolving challenges, they are growing the incorporation of sustainability into their strategy plans to get a competitive edge (Som, 2005). A culture of sustainability emerges as a critical element in this process, with organisations prioritizing sustainable practices and people-centric goals (Sivapragasam and Raya, 2017).

A sustainable workplace fulfils and invigorates the staff for work by architecting and augmenting its

physical, psychological, and social health. A sustainable engagement strategy and environment adds creativity to the employee's productive activities and offers employees a higher level of meaning. Sustainability is becoming a strategic requirement for companies all around the world, rather than just an ethical consideration. To achieve sustainability, issues related to the environment, society, and economy must be resolved while maintaining the welfare of present and future generations. By utilizing technology, workers are embracing hybrid work schedules, and if they have the choice, some are choosing to work from home full-time. (Kumari & Kumar, 2024) The role of employees is significant to this endeavour since their involvement and dedication are essential in promoting sustainable business practices. This research investigates the relationship between sustainable development and employee engagement, emphasizing the value of coordinating corporate objectives with social and environmental responsibilities.

Literature review: Employee engagement

The phrase emotional commitment and dedication is the "commitment" of employees to the objectives and values of their organization. Engaged workers are highly productive, enthusiastic about their work, and more inclined to contribute to the company's success. Leadership transparency, opportunity for growth and development, and a feeling of purpose all have a big impact on employee engagement. According to Harter *et al.* (2002), engaged employees are typically more productive than disengaged ones, which raises employee satisfaction. Motivated employees are more likely to support pro-sustainability initiatives at work, Xanthopoulou *et al.* (2009). But when employees are not committed to these programs and find that they don't align with the organization's value systems, their interests (Colvin & Boswell, 2007; Schaufeli & Bakker, 2004; Bakker *et al.*, 2004). The IFES defines engagement as a mutually beneficial process where workers put up their best efforts and the company encourages involvement. Devoted staff members strive to increase the organization's productivity and help achieve its goals (Robinson *et al.*, 2004). This is further supported by Rutledge (2005), who claims that engaged workers are individuals who have dedicated themselves to their work. Hence working with passion CIPD pointed to employee engagement as a multifaceted work response that entails thinking about the job, feeling about the organization, and committing to organizational objectives. This can be linked to Schaufeli and Bakker's (2011) conceptualisation of employee engagement as a workplace attitude that reflects an individual's passionate and fulfilling work experience in terms of vigour, dedication, and absorption.

Therefore, a sustained multifaceted variable with cognitive, emotive, and communicative components is employee engagement. These factors offer information about how workers view their positions and behave in the workplace. Kahn (1990) describes cognitive engagement, as when an employee gets cognitively involved in his / her task by trying to solve problems and innovate, whereas behavioural engagement is one of the four dimensions that characterize how much an employee goes above and beyond to achieve the organization's goals, emotional engagement is the positive effect of employees' dedication to their work and organization, motivating them to put in more effort than is necessary (Macey & Schneider, 2008) Long-term commitment benefits firms and people in several ways, according to numerous studies. High levels of job satisfaction and self-actualisation are strongly correlated with the employees, as well as with regular attendance, good physical and mental health, and low intentions to leave.

The Global Workforce Study pointed to the concept of sustainable engagement, which encompasses three key elements: employees' level of effort in achieving work goals, the supportiveness of the organizational

environment in enhancing productivity, and the promotion of employees' well-being through energizing work experiences. Employee engagement is thus characterized by positive feelings towards various aspects of work and the organization, leading to proactive behaviours and a willingness to exert extra effort (*Global Workforce Study, 2012*).

To organisations, sustainable engagement leads to better organisational performance, idea generation, staff loyalty and customer satisfaction (*Macey and Schneider, 2008*). Also, the businesses with higher scores in sustainable engagement levels have an appreciated organizational culture in the organizations that can be successfully changed according to the orientations of the market (*Wollard & Shuck, 2011*). By definition, sustainable employee engagement is broader and deeper than narrow, short-term and short-sighted touch points approaches that focus on buying engagement from employees seen only as 'human capital assets'. More research and experiments in the future should be made in terms of understanding how exactly those psychological, organizational and societal factors are connected to enhance the process of encouraging sustained productivity while attending to the welfare of the workers.

Defining sustainable employee engagement:

Sustainable Employee Engagement as a concept in human resource management, recognises human's natural prejudice towards focus, measurement and optimisation of employee attendance and participation. And it has now become the new lore within today's workplaces and the hottest corporate giants. It can be described as commitment, devotion and adding extra effort for a company's success. It is clear that when organizations can retain and engage their employees, then the morale of the employees will also be enhanced and this will make the employees understand more fully the goals, direction, strategies and objectives of the firm. (*Ragi, 2024*)

The responsiveness of an organization to generate economic, social, and environmental benefits to positively contribute to sustainable development is known as organizational sustainability. simultaneously—commonly known as the "triple bottom line." In other words, sustainable organizations can achieve success across economic, environmental, and human performance areas at the same time. However, while all three aspects should be considered equally, Compared to the economic and environmental facets of sustainability, the social dimension is frequently given less attention. The degree of engagement an employee has with the firm is determined by how involved they are in its success. It increases organizational commitment through increasing the morale of the workers as well as the engagement of the workers in firm goals, directions and methods. In this case, sustainable employee engagement describes the degree to which workers are willing to behave, think and feel positively towards the organisation.

Long-term participation of the employees within The organization's operations that take into account the well-being, growth, and contentment of these workers is known as sustainable employee engagement. They are not the quick fix, 'shiny object' type solutions or the occasional, sustainable strategies here are all about securing long-term ways to make organisational culture more pleasant, stimulating, and empowering for its people and between them in the end.

Objectives of the study

- To study sustainable employee engagement practices
- To suggest strategies for sustainable employee engagement

Research Methodology

This study uses a descriptive and analytical research design where the researcher relies on collected secondary data on employee engagement and the contribution towards organisational sustainability. It helps in the assimilation of data from many sources such as scholarly articles, reports of different industries, cases and surveys of the concerned organization. Publications on managing employees, employee engagement, sustainability and organisational behaviour, journal articles conference proceedings and books were analysed to conclude.

Keys to Unlocking Sustainable Employee Engagement

- **Integrating Leadership:** When workers perceive a direct link between their work and the organization's goals or influence, their level of engagement rises. Supervisors need to make sure staff members are aware of how their work fits within the larger goals. Senior leaders are essential in advancing sustainability initiatives and motivating staff members by exhibiting their dedication via their choices and actions.
- **Workplace culture:** Sustainable involvement places a high priority on workers' health, which includes maintaining a good work-life balance. To avoid burnout, organizations should provide tools for mental health, flexible work schedules, and manageable workloads. Motivation is increased Employee engagement is better when people are empowered to take pleasure in their work and are given authority over their responsibilities. They also have a say in how decisions are made and how their duties are performed. Employees feel valued and engaged when opportunities for growth and skill development are provided. For engagement to be maintained, workers must feel that they are making both professional and personal advancement within the organization. By offering feedback, clear communication, and constant assistance, managers can contribute significantly to sustaining engagement. Long-term commitment is fostered by leaders who invest in their staff and provide an example of excellent behaviour. Encouragement to modify responsibilities to better suit a worker's abilities, passions, and ideals can help maintain worker engagement.
- **Communication Strategies and Training Initiatives:** Raising awareness of sustainability challenges and encouraging staff participation require effective communication and education programs. Training sessions, workshops, and awareness campaigns can give staff members the skills and information they need to contribute successfully.
- **Workforce Participation and Appreciation:** Employee engagement is maintained when accomplishments and efforts are consistently acknowledged, whether through official awards, promotions, or just encouraging remarks. For them to stay dedicated, workers must feel valued. Engaging employees in decision-making processes related to sustainability fosters ownership and

empowerment. Establishing green teams or sustainability committees allows employees to brainstorm ideas, implement initiatives, and track progress. Employee involvement is further strengthened when their contributions to sustainability are acknowledged and rewarded.

- **Embedding into Company Culture:** Long-term participation is encouraged in a work atmosphere that is psychologically safe, inclusive, and helpful. A culture that maintains employee motivation and connection must prioritize open communication, trust, and respect. Sustainability should be ingrained in the organizational culture, values, and practices. By integrating sustainability into performance evaluations, goal-setting processes, and reward systems, organizations emphasize its importance and encourage employees to make sustainable habits a part of their everyday lives.

Tapping Employee Engagement for Sustainable Success

- **Increased Innovation:** Creative problem-solving and proactive search for answers are hallmarks of engaged workers. Through the utilization of employees' varied perspectives and skills, companies can stimulate creativity and create environmentally friendly goods, services, and procedures.
- **Better Reputation:** Companies with a strong commitment to sustainability tend to attract top talent, investors, and customers. Proud of their company's sustainability initiatives, engaged staff members act as ambassadors and advocates, spreading good word and fostering relationships with stakeholders.
- **Enhanced Resilience:** In a changing business environment, organizations' motivated workers are better equipped to adjust to social and environmental changes. Organizations that cultivate a sustainable culture are better equipped to foresee risks, grab opportunities, and thrive in the face of uncertainty.

Strategies for Sparking Employee Engagement in Sustainability

Employee involvement is a crucial factor in determining how well sustainability projects work. In businesses. Employee engagement increases the likelihood that support sustainable initiatives, such as cutting back on waste, encouraging diversity, and inclusion, or lowering energy use. Organizations can leverage employee efforts to tackle environmental issues and generate positive social impacts by cultivating a sustainable culture.

Evolving Sustainability Trends:

Defining Clear Sustainability Objectives:

A clearly defined and shared vision for sustainability serves as a guide for all employees. It's vital to include your team in this process, allowing them to influence the vision, which fosters a feeling of involvement and ownership. To ensure credibility in your sustainability goals, set measurable targets. Evaluate these goals using the SMART criteria—making sure they are Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Relevant, and Time-bound. This structured approach helps track progress and encourages commitment from everyone in the organization

Integrating Sustainability into the Functions of Management planning:

Defining engagement, defining related terms, and identifying the components of engagement related to organizational culture organizing: assembling a cross-functional team to investigate and decide on the engagement plan, functional task forces and subcommittees, communication strategy, and leadership development leading: establishing the accountability process, cultivating leaders, and identifying leadership abilities that promote the culture of participation controlling: determining engagement's key performance metrics, gathering both qualitative and quantitative input, developing a procedure for ongoing assessment, and modifying the engagement plan

CSR as a Catalyst for Sustainability:

CSR practices positively impact corporate performance, leading to increased job retention and employee motivation (Sharma et al., 2019). Adopting CSR as a long-term policy has been highlighted, especially by big businesses that understand the significance of sustainable policies Integrating CSR with company strategy improves increases employee engagement, raises the company's profile, and improves job satisfaction—all of which support long-term success. (Chiang, 2010).

Nurturing Sustainability with Talent Management:

Studies on talent management suggest that with the current focus on sustainable work and employment in organizational studies, it has become essential to prioritize ethical and conscientious management in talent strategies. Through human resource practices, this strategy is essential to attaining long-term results for the company and its workers (Anlesinya et al., 2019). Decisions about talent management in this situation need to incorporate frameworks like stakeholder theory, organizational justice theory, and talent philosophies to guide key decisions related to talent acquisition and management. These studies highlight that responsible talent management practices contribute to achieving multiple levels of sustainability, including the promotion of decent work and the well-being of both employees and the organization.

Building Sustainability on Corporate Performance:

Numerous studies have examined the connection between financial performance and business sustainability practices, investigation, particularly focusing on how sustainability disclosures impact business outcomes. Research indicates that, while both environmental and social factors contribute to financial performance, social factors tend to exert a greater influence. This suggests that businesses prioritizing social responsibility, such as community engagement and employee well-being, see a stronger financial return compared to those focusing solely on environmental issues. The business world has seen a significant paradigm shift in recent years, moving away from purely financial goals toward a more holistic approach to corporate sustainability. This shift reflects the growing recognition that long-term success is dependent on integrating economic, environmental and social factors into business plans. (K. Singh & Tripathi, 2023). Thus, corporate sustainability serves as a framework that strikes a balance between making a profit and having a positive social and environmental impact. As social inequality increases and environmental degradation becomes a global issue, businesses are becoming more expected to adopt sustainable practices, not only as a matter of corporate responsibility but also as a key driver of financial resilience and

competitiveness. The significance of sustainability as a key element of strategic decision-making in the modern, interconnected global economy is highlighted by this trend.

Sustainability Powered by Green HRM:

Green human resource management has been the subject of numerous studies, with a focus on performance, green hiring, and environmental training. Appraisal to encourage pro-environmental behaviour in organizations (Mishra, 2017). Crucial factors for fostering green behaviours include top management support and inter-departmental learning. Raut et al. (2019) highlight the significance of GHRM indicators such as "green organizational culture" and "green strategy adoption." in the automotive sector. GHRM not only benefits the environment while simultaneously preserving resources for upcoming generations. Promoting economic sustainability. As industries increasingly impact the environment, adopting sustainable practices is imperative, as advocated by NGOs and government bodies. Employees play a vital role in this green transformation, making this research essential for HR specialists in identifying key indicators for enhancing environmental performance in organizations.

Sustainability Driven by Organizational Commitment and Citizenship Behavior:

Organizational commitment is the degree to which a person identifies with and engages with a specific organization. (Chang, 1999). It is concluded that commitment and citizenship behaviour are vital aspects of engaging employees in sustainable ways. High-commitment employees work towards the organisational vision by having an interest in the company's long-term goals. OCB is as defined as discretionary activities, for example assisting other workers or contributing to organizational initiatives. Such behavior's encourage organisational cooperation, teamwork and shared company-wide Responsibility for sustainability. OCB raises interest by promoting optional activities in support of organisational sustainability goals. Combining both the organizational commitment and OCB ensures that sustainably becomes the foundation for organizational culture.

Sustainability Achieved by Balancing Healthcare Priorities:

In maintaining ample and enduring employee engagement, a key priority in healthcare consideration is an organization's focus on healthcare priorities and comprehensive schemata of quality care, cost, and resources. If these priorities in healthcare organizations are aligned, they produce an organisational structure that is stable and supportive for employees, which engages and facilitates high staff commitment. For instance, chasing operational productivity while nurturing organizational commitment guarantees that the workforce is not strained hence improving engagement, engagement comes from companies ensuring that their workforce is balanced both in their human and resource capital and engineering in them a sense of security and meaning (Bakker and Demerouti, 2008). Also, establishing the workforce health priority, like counseling, training services or other organizational added values enhances the morale and the overall job satisfaction index of the employees. Reducing healthcare waste or increasing the efficiency of resource utilization is effective when employees are dedicated to the objectives and get involved in sustainable practices (Salanova et al., 2008).

Building Sustainability on the Foundations of Leadership:

As the business environment is constantly shifting means that there is an increase in behavioural deviance hence the stress of the need for effective leadership behavior at the workplace to promote sustainable employee engagement. During such fluctuations, both social and organisational change is important, for instance, applying spiritual humanistic value leadership (*Pathak et al., 2013*). It is widely thought that this approach to leadership creates a culture that supports sustainability, as principles of leadership are aligned with ethics and spirituality, to increase employee motivation. Similar evidence is reviewed in the speech with specific reference to a study of Tata whereby spirituality of the family values was central to developing the model firm to become a sustainable business entity (*Mohapatra et al., 2018*). Altogether, this current line of research points to the possibility of value-based leadership in enhancing the sustainability of employee involvement when approaching organisational issues during turbulent times.

Empowering Sustainability through Employee Perspectives and Well-Being:

Sustainability empowered within the ambience of employee overall well-being and a close lookout at their perspectives will not only enhance the business outcome but also The degree of dedication of employees The usefulness of how an employee's voice and well-being may form long-lasting employee engagement was highlighted when the employee engagement theory was examined from a wider angle. Since there is a broad, favourable consensus that commitment will enhance employees' beneficial behavioural patterns. This includes improved peer-to-peer relationships, improved compliance with supervisory directives, and many other things. Such actions improve the organization's performance in addition to that of the individual personnel. Ultimately, these positive dynamics lead to an environment in which voice behaviour forms conditions under which, in individual terms, employees feel free to speak up as well as to demonstrate their inventive capabilities (*Salanova et al., 2008*).

However, problems like lack of proper two-way communication and lack of teamwork can seriously jeopardize organizational functionality as well as employee's psychological state. (*R. Singh & Bisen, 2023*) To establish long-term and effective employee engagement it is necessary to encourage a dialogue-friendly culture. Additionally, this strategy enables the management to record ideas from the employees and encourages diversity, meaning that everybody has to be considered. This is where foresight creation through personality congruency by an organization enhances both employee well-being as well as organizational performance in the long run.

Conclusion

A resilient future may be built and sustainability advanced with the help of engaged employees. Businesses may fully utilize their staff to generate positive environmental and social impact by putting sustainability into the organizational culture and giving employee engagement programs top priority. As we navigate the complexities of today's world, the collaboration and commitment of engaged workers will be essential to creating a sustainable future for future generations.

To fully engage its workers, a company must have a thorough grasp of engagement. It must also try to use the correct tools to engage the right people. HR managers to engage their staff, line managers must collaborate

to determine the engagement criteria. Previous engagement outcomes must serve as the foundation for the engagement program's design. Consequently, during the implementation phase, an individual engagement strategy needs to be created in coordination with the line manager. Sustainable management is now a universal priority for organizations worldwide, with a growing emphasis on integrating sustainability into employee practices. Various sustainability themes need to be aligned with businesses to consolidate processes and foster growth.

Limitations of the Study

The organizational culture may make it challenging to generalize the findings. Which may have different needs in different industries and geographical areas, in addition to the specific socio-economic environment. Future work could extend the study to other industries and conduct the study across various countries to make the findings generalizable for aspiration. Employee engagement is therefore a continuous process through which constant changes may occur as shapes depending on the change in futuristic strategies and environmental forces. Consequently, the cross-sectional view that is obtained by using a single data collection source means that over time effects of engaging employees in matters sustainable are not effectively captured. Such studies would reduce this limitation by following the employee's engagement levels at different times. Lastly, the study focuses on how employee engagement helps to explain what sustainability is. Whereas the influence of other variables like corporate governance, market forces or external pressures for sustainability may also explain significant variances in organizational sustainability initiatives. Possible future studies may employ a more expansive model, where these factors could be taken into equal account.

Scope of future research

Future research may extend the study by including a wide range of industries, organisations sizes, and geographical locations. This expansion would provide practical information on how employee practices at work are practiced in different cultural settings there is a lot of potential for longitudinal research on employee engagement and sustainability over time. Utilizing cutting-edge technology like robots, artificial intelligence, and the Internet of Things, creates new prospects for development and innovation in the years to come. *(Singh & Verma, 2024)* Engagement is dynamic and cannot be understood in a specific organisational moment, and so are the impacts of sustainability efforts. Moreover, it is noteworthy that management's sustainability efforts may take time to produce results. To strengthen the knowledge of the present research and to determine the temporal dynamics of involvement and its effects on long-term corporate performance, a longitudinal study approach ought to be used in subsequent studies.

Studies could overcome this methodological limitation by involving both, quantitative surveys as well as qualitative interviews or case studies. This would reduce some of the bias seen when using self-generated data and increase understanding of how engagement promotes sustainability among employees.

Finally, it may be possible to reveal the connections between employee engagement and other organizational components such as corporate governance, leadership, and the market environment and their impact on sustainability results. In conclusion, analyzing these crossing values helps scholars to define a more complex model of organizations' sustainable development and provides a richer strategic orientation

for practitioners who want to enhance both employee involvement and the organization's sustainable development. Such a strategy would not only fill in more gaps in the current research but also offer a more profound and application-oriented perception of the essence that is known as employee engagement in creating a sustainable context and socio-economic contexts, the implications drawn are relatively generalizable.

References

- Anlesinya, A., & Amponsah-Tawiah, K. (2020). Towards a responsible talent management model. *European Journal of Training and Development*, 44(2/3), 279–303. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ejtd-07-2019-0114>
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2008). Towards a model of work engagement. *Career Development International*, 13(3), 209–223. <https://doi.org/10.1108/1362043081087047>
- Chang, E. (1999). Career commitment as a complex moderator of organizational commitment and turnover intention. *Human Relations*, 52(10), 1257–127. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872679905201002>
- Chiang, C. S. (2010). How corporate social responsibility influences employee job satisfaction in the hotel industry. *UNLV Theses*. <https://doi.org/10.34917/1750436>
- Conkright, T. A. (2015). Using the four functions of management for sustainable employee engagement. *Performance Improvement Journal*, 54(8), 15–21. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pfi.21506>
- Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(2), 268–279. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.2.268>
- Kahn, W. A. (1990b). Psychological Conditions Of Personal Engagement And Disengagement At Work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(4), 692–724. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256287>
- Kumari, J., & Kumar, S. (2024). Lay-Offs in the Global Information Technology Sector and Its Impact on the Indian Economy. *Commerce Research Review*, 1(2), 1-9.
- Macey, W. H., & Schneider, B. (2008). The meaning of employee engagement. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 1(1), 3–30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-9434.2007.0002.x>
- Mishra, K., Boynton, L., & Mishra, A. (2014). Driving employee engagement. *International Journal of Business Communication*, 51(2), 183–202. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2329488414525399>
- Mishra, M. (2020). Sustainable Employee Practices Leading to High Employee Engagement: Identifying the priorities. 24(3), 153–165. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0971685818774116>
- Mohapatra, S., & Verma, P. (2018). Tata as a Sustainable Enterprise: The causal role of spirituality. *Journal of Human Values*,
- Pathak, P., Sharma, R. R., & Singh, S. (2013). Reinventing society: Search for a paradigm.
- Ragi, T. S. (2024). Unveiling Influence: Assessing the Effect of ICT Tools on Student Activities through Knowledge Management Processes. *Commerce Research Review*, 1(2), 50–57. <https://doi.org/10.21844/crr.v1i02.1112>
- Ramrakhiani, A., & Shrivastava, N. K. (2024c). Artificial intelligence: Revolutionizing the future of fintech. *Commerce Research Review*, 1(2), 10–22. <https://doi.org/10.21844/crr.v1i02.1109>

- Raut, R. D., Gardas, B., Luthra, S., Narkhede, B., & Mangla, S. K. (2020). Analysing green human resource management indicators of automotive service sector. *International Journal of Manpower*, 41(7), 925–944. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijm-09-2019-0435>
- Robinson, D., Perryman, S., & Hayday, S. (2004, April). The Drivers of Employee Engagement. IES Report 408 website. Retrieved August 9, 2007, from <http://www.employment-studies.co.uk>
- Rutledge, T. (2009). Getting engaged: The new workplace loyalty. Mattanie Press.
- Salanova, M., & Schaufeli, W. (2008). A cross-national study of work engagement as a mediator between job resources and proactive behaviour. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 19(1), 116–131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585190701763982>
- Salanova, M., Agut, S., & Peiró, J. M. (2008). Linking organizational resources and work engagement to employee performance and customer loyalty: The mediation of service climate. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(6), 1210–1224. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0013860>
- Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: a multi-sample study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25(3), 293–315. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.248>
- Sharma, R. R. (2019). Evolving a Model of Sustainable Leadership: an ex-post facto research. *Vision the Journal of Business Perspective*, 23(2), 152–169. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972262919840216>
- Singh, J., & Verma, K. K. (2024). Industry 4.0 to Industry 5.0: A Paradigm Shift towards Sustainable and Human Centric Production. *Deleted Journal*, 1(2), 68–75. <https://doi.org/10.21844/crr.v1i02.1114>
- Singh, R., & Bisen, G. K. (2023). Job Related Uncertainty in the Age of Artificial Intelligence and Gig Economy. *Commerce Research Review*, 1(1), 85–100. <https://doi.org/10.21844/crr.v1i01.110>
- Singh, K., & Tripathi, V. (2023). Unlocking digital marketing potential: Harnessing big data for strategic insight and competitive advantage. *Commerce Research Review*, 1(1), 15–29. <https://doi.org/10.21844/crr.v1i01.1102>
- Sivapragasam, P., & Raya, R. P. (2017). HRM and Employee Engagement Link: Mediating Role of Employee Well-being. *Global Business Review*, 19(1), 147–161. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972150917713369>
- Som, A. (2005). Building sustainable organizations through restructuring: Role of organizational character in France and India. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm/SSRN_ID775225_code388792.pdf?abstractid=767704&mirid=1
- The Drivers of Employee engagement | Institute for Employment Studies (IES). (n.d.). The Drivers of Employee Engagement | Institute for Employment Studies (IES). <https://www.employment-studies.co.uk/resource/drivers-employee-engagement>
- Towers Watson (firm). (2012). 2012 Global Workforce Study: Engagement at risk: driving strong performance in a volatile global environment.
- Wollard, K. K., & Shuck, B. (2011). Antecedents to employee engagement. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 13(4), 429–446. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1523422311431220>
- Xanthopoulou, D., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2007). The role of personal resources in the job demands-resources model. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 14(2), 121–141. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1072-5245.14.2.121>